

## **Assessment Engineer's Report**

# WEBSTER – FEDERAL BOULEVARD MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT

**Annual Update for Fiscal Year 2010** 

under the provisions of the

San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Ordinance of the San Diego Municipal Code

and

Landscaping & Lighting Act of 1972 of the California Streets & Highways Code

Prepared For City of San Diego, California

**Prepared By** 

**AECOM** 

7807 Convoy Court, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92111 (858) 268-8080

May 2009

## **CITY OF SAN DIEGO**

### **Mayor**

Jerry Sanders

### **City Council Members**

Sherri Lightner Carl DeMaio
District 1 District 5

Kevin Faulconer Donna Frye
District 2 (Council President Pro Tem) District 6

Todd Gloria Marti Emerald
District 3 District 7

Anthony Young Ben Hueso
District 4 District 8 (Council President)

### **City Attorney**

Jan Goldsmith

## **Chief Operating Officer**

Jay Goldstone

#### **City Clerk**

Elizabeth Maland

### **Independent Budget Analyst**

Andrea Tevlin

#### **City Engineer**

Afshin Oskoui

#### **Assessment Engineer**

AECOM USA, Inc.

## **Table of Contents**

Assessment Engineer's Report Webster – Federal Boulevard Maintenance Assessment District			
	Preamble1		
	Executive Summary		
	Background3		
	District Proceedings for Fiscal Year 20103		
	Bond Declaration3		
	District Boundary3		
	Project Description4		
	Separation of General and Special Benefits4		
	Cost Estimate4		
	Estimated Costs4		
	Annual Cost-Indexing4		
	Method of Apportionment5		
	Estimated Benefit of the Improvements5		
	Apportionment Methodology5		
	Summary Results7		

## **EXHIBITS**

Exhibit A: District Boundary

Exhibit B: Estimated Annual Expenses, Revenues & Reserves

Exhibit C: Assessment Roll

#### **Preamble**

Pursuant to the provisions of the "San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Ordinance" (being Division 2, Article 5, Chapter VI beginning at Section 65.0201 of the San Diego Municipal Code), provisions of the "Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972" (being Part 2 of Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code), applicable provisions of "Proposition 218" (being Article XIIID of the California Constitution), and provisions of the "Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act" (being California Senate Bill 919) (the aforementioned provisions are hereinafter referred to collectively as "Assessment Law"), in connection with the proceedings for the WEBSTER – FEDERAL BOULEVARD MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT (hereinafter referred to as "District"), AECOM USA, INC., as Assessment Engineer to the City of San Diego for these proceedings, submits herewith this report for the District as required by California Streets and Highways Code Section 22565.

FINAL APPROVAL, BY RESOLU	JTION NO
ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUN	CIL OF THE CITY OF SAN
DIEGO, COUNTY OF SAN DIEG	O, CALIFORNIA, ON THE
DAY OF	, 2009.

Elizabeth Maland, CITY CLERK CITY OF SAN DIEGO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **Executive Summary**

**Project:** Webster – Federal Boulevard

Maintenance Assessment District

**Apportionment Method:** Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU)

	FY 2009	FY 2010 <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Authorized	
Total Parcels Assessed:	579	579		
<b>Total Estimated Assessment:</b>	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Total Number of EDUs:	999.02	999.02		
Assessment per EDU:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18.94	

<sup>(1)</sup> FY 2010 is the City's Fiscal Year 2010, which begins July 1, 2009 and ends June 30, 2010. Total Parcels Assessed, Total Estimated Assessment, and Total Number of EDUs may vary from prior fiscal year values due to parcel changes.

**District History:** The District was established in 1995.

**Annual Cost-Indexing:** Indexing of assessments is not permitted under the

current apportionment methodology.

**Bonds:** No bonds will be issued in connection with this District

## **Background**

The Webster – Federal Boulevard Maintenance Assessment District (District) was established in 1995. The original Assessment Engineer's Report is on file in the City of San Diego (City) Clerk's office. The District funds the maintenance of landscaped and hardscaped medians on Federal Boulevard from Euclid Avenue to 47<sup>th</sup> Street.

## **District Proceedings for Fiscal Year 2010**

This District is authorized and administered under the provisions of the "San Diego Maintenance Assessment District Procedural Ordinance of 1986" (being Division 2, Article 5, Chapter VI beginning at Section 65.0201 of the San Diego Municipal Code), provisions of the "Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972" (being Part 2 of Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code), applicable provisions of "Proposition 218" (being Article XIIID of the California Constitution), and provisions of the "Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act" (being California Senate Bill 919) (the aforementioned provisions are hereinafter referred to collectively as "Assessment Law").

The purpose of the proposed proceedings and this Assessment Engineer's Report is to update the District budget and assessments for Fiscal Year 2010. The Fiscal Year 2010 assessments proposed within this Assessment Engineer's Report are equal to or less than the maximum authorized assessment. Therefore, the vote requirements of Section 4 of Article XIIID do not apply to these proceedings.

A public hearing will be scheduled where public testimony will be heard by the Council, and the Council may, at its discretion, adopt a resolution ordering the levying of the proposed assessments.

#### **Bond Declaration**

No bonds will be issued in connection with this District.

## **District Boundary**

The Boundary Map and Assessment Diagram for the District are on file in the Maintenance Assessment Districts Section of the Park and Recreation Department of the City of San Diego and by reference is made a part of this report. The Boundary Map and Assessment

Diagram are available for public inspection during normal business hours. The District boundary is depicted in Exhibit A.

## **Project Description**

The project to be funded by the proposed assessments is the maintenance of landscaped and hardscaped medians on Federal Boulevard from Euclid Avenue to 47th Street.

The engineering drawings for the improvements maintained by the District are on file at Maps and Records in the Development Services Department and are incorporated herein. The improvements and services provided by the District will be maintained in accordance with specifications and contracts on file with the Park and Recreation Department. These documents are available for public inspection during normal business hours.

### **Separation of General and Special Benefits**

Consistent with City policy for the public at large, the City will provide the District with annual contributions from the Gas Tax Fund for median maintenance (32.20¢ per square foot of landscaped median and 12.84¢ per square foot of hardscaped median). These cost allocations, reviewed and adjusted annually by the City, are considered to be "general benefits" administered by the District. All other maintenance, operations, and administration costs associated with the District, which exceed the City's contribution to the public at large, are accordingly considered to be "special benefits" funded by the District.

#### **Cost Estimate**

#### **Estimated Costs**

Estimated Fiscal Year 2010 annual expenses, revenues, reserves, and assessments (provided by the City) for the District are included as Exhibit B hereto.

### **Annual Cost-Indexing**

With the passage of Proposition 218, any proposed increase in assessments must be placed for approval before the property owners by

a mail ballot and a public hearing process, similar to these proceedings. A majority of ballots received must be affirmative for the City Council to confirm and levy the increased assessments. The current maximum authorized assessment established in 1995 is not authorized to be indexed (increased or decreased) without a vote of the affected property owners.

## **Method of Apportionment**

#### **Estimated Benefit of the Improvements**

The Transportation Element of the City's General Plan and the general policy recommendations found in the local Community Plan establish several goals for the community's transportation system. The improvements being maintained by this District are consistent with the plans' goals for public safety and pleasing aesthetics. All parcels within the District benefit from the enhanced improvements being maintained by the District.

#### **Apportionment Methodology**

The total cost for maintenance of District improvements will be assessed to the various parcels within the District in proportion to the estimated Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDUs) assigned to a parcel in relationship to the total EDUs of all the parcels within the District.

EDUs for each parcel have been determined based on a Density Factor applicable to the subject land use as shown in the following equation:

EDUs = (Acres or Units) x Density Factor

Table 1 summarizes the Density Factors for land uses within the District.

**TABLE 1: Density Factors** 

Land Use/Zoning	Code	<b>Density Factor</b>
Single Family Residential (developed)	DSFD	1.0 per unit
Condominium (developed)	DCND	0.7 per unit
Multi-Family & Apartment (developed)	DAPT	0.7 per unit
Religious Use (developed)	DREL	1.0 per unit
Retail & Commercial (developed)	DRET	30.0 per acre
R1-5000 Zoning	R1-5000	8.7 per acre

The EDUs calculated for each property can be found in the Assessment Roll (Exhibit C).

## **Summary Results**

The District boundary is presented in Exhibit A.

An estimate of the costs of the improvements provided by the District is included as Exhibit B to this report.

The assessment methodology utilized is as described in the text of this report. Based on this methodology, the EDUs and Fiscal Year 2010 District assessment for each parcel were calculated and are shown in the Assessment Roll (Exhibit C).

Each lot or parcel of land within the District has been identified by unique County Assessor's Parcel Number on the Assessment Roll and the Boundary Map and Assessment Diagram referenced herein. The net assessment for each parcel for Fiscal Year 2010 can be found on the Assessment Roll.

This report has been prepared and respectfully submitted by:

AECOM USA, INC.

Eugene F. Shank, PE C 52792

Carolyn R. Crull

OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, do hereby certify	of which are incorporated into this report, were filed in
	Elizabeth Maland, CITY CLERK CITY OF SAN DIEGO STATE OF CALIFORNIA
OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, do hereby certify	was approved and confirmed by the CITY COUNCIL
	Elizabeth Maland, CITY CLERK CITY OF SAN DIEGO STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	Z ENGINEER of the CITY OF SAN DIEGO, reby certify that the foregoing Assessment, together ffice on the day of,
	Afshin Oskoui, CITY ENGINEER CITY OF SAN DIEGO STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **EXHIBIT A**

## **EXHIBIT B**

## **EXHIBIT B - Estimated Annual Expenses, Revenues & Reserves**

Webster/Federal Blvd. - Fund 70251

	FY 2008 BUDGET		FY 2009 BUDGET		FY 2010 BUDGET	
BALANCE FROM PRIOR YEAR	\$	87,117	\$	78,769	\$	74,259
REVENUE						
Assessments	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Interest	\$	1,800	\$ \$	1,900	\$	1,800
Environmental Growth Fund	\$	-		-	\$	-
Gas Tax Fund	\$	6,814	\$	6,716	\$	6,757
General Fund	\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Miscellaneous	\$	-	<u>\$</u> \$		\$ \$	-
TOTAL REVENUE	\$	8,614	\$	8,616	\$	8,557
TOTAL BALANCE AND REVENUE	\$	95,731	\$	87,385	\$	82,816
EXPENSE						
OPERATING EXPENSE						
Personnel	\$	4,559	\$	4,676	\$	4,550
Contractual	\$	10,480	\$	7,678	\$	7,991
Incidental	\$	2,654	\$	2,640	\$	2,790
Utilities	\$	4,249	\$	6,200	\$	5,458
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	\$	21,942	\$	21,194	\$	20,789
RESERVE						
Contingency Reserve	<u>\$</u> \$	73,789	<u>\$</u> \$	66,191	\$ \$	62,027
TOTAL RESERVE	\$	73,789	\$	66,191	\$	62,027
BALANCE	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(0)
TOTAL EXPENSE, RESERVE AND BALANCE	\$	95,731	\$	87,385	\$	82,816

## **EXHIBIT C**

Due to the size of the Assessment Roll (Exhibit C), only limited copies are available. Please contact the City of San Diego, Park & Recreation Department, Open Space Division, Maintenance Assessment Districts Program at (619) 685-1350 to review the Assessment Roll.